

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,031	04/14/2004	Martin Maasz	04260424	7379
7590 10/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard A. Speer			MILLER, CARL STUART	
MAYER, BRO	WN, ROWE & MAW	LLP		
P.O. Box 2828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2828			3747	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\Gamma \Lambda \Lambda$	1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\bigcup$			
	10/825,031	MAASZ, MARTIN				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carl S. Miller	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
, <u> </u>	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/04.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/825,031

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischerkeller.

In particular, the jet pumps are fed from pickups (86) which can back up if the pressure goes too high in the line (82) thereby relieving the pressure in the surge tank(s).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischerkeller in view of Laue.

Fischerkeller applies as noted above and Laue, at Figure 8, teaches the well-known use of a pressure regulator to feed a jet pump feeding, in turn, a surge tank.

Since the return flow of a regulator is adequate to drive a jet pump large enough to keep a surge tank filled, it would have been obvious to feed the jet pump Fischerkeller in this way.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischerkeller in view of Sinz (U.S. '342).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,031

Art Unit: 3747

Sinz teaches the jet pump arrangement of this claim thereby making this an obvious way to balance the tanks.

Claim 4 (as understood) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischerkeller in view of Sinz (DE ('967)).

In Sinz ('967) the two jet pumps feed a common reservoir that, in turn, feeds both surge tanks. The applicant should note that this claim appears inconsistent with claim 3 from which it depends. Applicant should address this issue in his response. The examiner has not rejected this claim under 35 USC 112 at this time in order to give the applicant an opportunity to explain how this apparent inconsistency might not exist.

Claims 5-6 and 8/5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischerkeller and Coscia.

Coscia teaches a surge tank that includes a cup-shaped base and a top which appears to clip on and includes a seal below the top thereby making this an obvious way to seal the surge tanks of Fischerkeller.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischerkeller and Coscia as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Andreasson.

Andreasson teaches a porous cup-shaped surge tank (Figure 2) which would inherently be capable of swelling to help seal the tank.

Claim 8/7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischerkeller and Coscia as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Andreasson.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,031

Art Unit: 3747

All of the references apply as noted above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Miller
Primary Examiner